| 1 | | |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | CASEY JOSEPH BLACK, | Case No. 1:20-cv-01115-SKO (PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME |
| 13 | v. | |
| 14 | P. THOMAS, et al., | (Doc. 12) |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Defendants apply ex parte for an extension of time to file a responsive pleading to | |
| 18 | Plaintiff's complaint, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b) and Local Rule 144(c). | |
| 19 | (Doc. 12.) Defendants' response is currently due May 25, 2021. (See Docs. 7, 9.) | |
| 20 | Upon consideration of Defendants' application, including defense counsel's supporting | |
| 21 | declaration, the Court finds good cause to grant the request for an extension of time. Accordingly, | |
| 22 | Defendants' application is GRANTED. Defendants shall have until June 22, 2021, to respond to | |
| 23 | Plaintiff's complaint. | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | Γ IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 26 | Dated: May 24, 2021 | s Sheila K. Oberto |
| 27 | | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 28 | | |